

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,394	05/16/2006	Mark Richard Norton	P07962US02/MP	8530
881 7590 02/19/2009 STITES & HARBISON PLLC 1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET			EXAMINER	
			KING, FELICIA C	
SUITE 900 ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/562,394
 NORTON ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 FELICIA C. KING
 1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FELICIA C. KING.	(3)Stephen Weyer (Applicant's Representative).				
(2) <u>David R. Sample (SPE)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 2/5/2009.					
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2)	☐ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes elf Yes, brief description: <u>N/A</u> .	)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: All pending claims.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 4,311,720; US 4867992; US 6,299,926</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed Marmo (US Patent Number 4.311.720)</u> and <u>Boniello (US Patent Number 4.867.992)</u> and <u>Balakrishnan (US 6.299.926)</u> references in comparison to prior art rejections made against applicant's <u>claims</u> .					
(A fuller description if necessary and a copy of the amendm	ents which the examiner agreed would render the claims.				

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS HORD THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.